

20190201 - Sprint 1 Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Meeting Info:

ATTENDEES

Pablo Mueller
pmuel001@fiu.edu



Eddy Mogollon
emogo001@fiu.edu



Jay Alvarez - Jacinto.Alvarezdelacamp@miamidade.gov

Daniel Stanisky - Daniel.Stanisky@miamidade.gov

Elio Perez - elioperez@miamidade.gov

Javier Ruiz - javier.ruiz@miamidade.gov

Date: 01 Feb 2019

Start time: 12:00PM

End time: 01:00PM

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
 - Yes, definitely. The users stories required a lot of work to plan and develop, and being the first sprint a lot of setup was required. However, we were able to work on every user story and we were able to complete them successfully.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
 - Yes, we estimated that creating the layout of the card component was going to take the most time, due to the time spent researching and discussing all the possible designs, plus learning new documentation and new technologies. At the beginning we thought we were overestimating, but at the end it turned out to be very precise.
- Did each team member work as scheduled?
 - Yes, from the start of the sprint we decided to be very organized with our work, and we met regularly to discuss the project.

What went right?

- The Project Owner and Stakeholders were satisfied and impressed by the results of the first sprint. We were able to get all things done, and they gave us very positive feedback with regards to our user stories.
- We set up all the documentation needed for the project. We attended all the Scrum meetings and provided feedback on our progress. We also pair programmed in many instances.
- We communicated ot the PO in several instances via e-mail, so we maintained an open communication channel.
- We spent a lot of time researching other Animal Cruelty Registries and MDC's website for references. The PO was impressed by the fact that the design of our website followed very closely the design of the MDC website, including the same front-end technologies.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
 - The process worked out fine for our development.
- How to improve the product?
 - The page should be following the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) as required by the county.
 - The page should be more responsive, both for users with small and large screen.
 - Get rid of the 'chips' in the card component, as they present two possible issues:
 - 1) The charges listed under FL statue may not be the actual charge of the offense by the person.
 - 2) UI-wise, the chips may look like they are interactive.